arXiv:1701.06097v1 [math.CO] 21 Jan 2017

Graph Complexity and Mahler Measure

Daniel S. Silver Susan G. Williams*

January 24, 2017

Abstract

The *(torsion) complexity* of a finite edge-weighted graph is defined to be the order of the torsion subgroup of the abelian group presented by its Laplacian matrix. When G is d-periodic (i.e., G has a free $\mathbb{Z}^d$-action by graph automorphisms with finite quotient) the Mahler measure of its Laplacian determinant polynomial is the growth rate of the complexity of finite quotients of G. Lehmer's question, an open question about the roots of monic integral polynomials, is equivalent to a question about the complexity growth of edge-weighted 1-periodic graphs.

MSC: 05C10, 37B10, 57M25, 82B20

1 Introduction.

The complexity of a finite graph is often defined as the number of its spanning trees. Here we consider graphs with integer edge weights and take a different approach, defining complexity to be the order of the torsion subgroup of the abelian group presented by the Laplacian matrix of the graph. When G is connected and all edge weights are 1, the complexity as we define it is the number of spanning trees of the graph. However, for general edge-weighted graphs, the two notions of complexity are different.

Our main objects of study are *d-periodic graphs*, infinite graphs G on which $\mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geq 1$, acts freely by graph automorphisms such that integer edge weights are preserved and the quotient graph $\overline{G}$ is finite. Our motivation comes from two sources: knot theory, where finite graphs with edge weights ± 1 correspond to diagrams of knots and links, and Lehmer's question concerning the roots of integral polynomials.

For d-periodic graphs a Laplacian operator is defined (see [20]), described by a matrix with variables $x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_d^{\pm 1}$ and denoted here by L_G. We call its determinant the *Laplacian (determinant) polynomial* $D_G = D_G(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$. (For finite graphs the term Laplacian polynomial is often used for the characteristic polynomial of the integer Laplacian matrix.) When $D_G \neq 0$, we use the main result of [28] to characterize the Mahler measure of D_G as the complexity growth rate of the finite quotient graphs lying between G and $\overline{G}$. When all edge weights of G are 1, we recover a consequence of a more general result of Lyons [29] (see also [3]). We show that Lehmer's question is equivalent to a question about graph complexity growth rates of 1-periodic graphs with edge weights equal to ± 1.

A 1-periodic *plane graph* G (that is, a graph embedded in the plane) determines an infinite link by the medial construction. Its quotient by the $\mathbb{Z}$-action can be regarded as a (finite) link in an unknotted thickened annulus. The Alexander polynomial of the complement determines the Laplacian polynomial of G. We follow with some speculations about Lehmer's question and links.

*The authors are grateful for the support of the Simons Foundation.

In the last section we present useful results about unweighted d-periodic graphs. They will not surprise some experts. However, as far as we know they do not appear in previous literature.

Acknowledgements. It is the authors' pleasure to thank Abhijit Champanerkar, Eriko Hironaka, Matilde Lalin and Chris Smythe for helpful comments and suggestions.

2 Complexity of finite graphs.

Consider a finite graph G with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G) = \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$. The graph is allowed to have multiple edges. Loops will not affect affect results here and can be ignored. We assume also that the edges $e \in E(G)$ have *weights* $w_e \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Generally w_e will be 1 or -1.) The graph G is *unweighted* if every weight is 1.

The *adjacency matrix* of G is the $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{i,j})$ such that $a_{i,j}$ is the sum of the weights of edges between v_i and v_j, for $i \neq j$. Diagonal entries of A are zero. Define $\delta = (\delta_{i,j})$ to be the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with $\delta_{i,i} = \sum_j a_{i,j}$.

Definition 2.1. The *Laplacian matrix* L_G of a finite graph G is $\delta - A$. The abelian group presented by L_G is the *Laplacian group* of G, denoted by $\mathcal{L}_G$. The *(torsion) complexity* κ_G is the order of the torsion subgroup $T\mathcal{L}_G$

When G is connected and unweighted, the nullity of the Laplacian matrix L_G is equal to 1 (see [15]), and hence the Laplacian group $\mathcal{L}_G$ decomposes as the direct sum of $\mathbb{Z}$ and the torsion subgroup $T\mathcal{L}_G$. In this case, the Matrix Tree Theorem [43] implies that κ_G is equal to the number of spanning trees of G.

More generally we define *tree complexity* τ_G of a connected graph G by

$$\tau_G = \left| \sum_T \prod_{e \in E(T)} w_e \right|,$$

where the summation is taken over all spanning trees of G. If G not connected, then we define τ_G to be the product of the tree complexities of its connected components. Again by [43], we have $\tau_G = \kappa_G$ if and only if τ_G is nonzero; for connected G this common value is equal to any $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ principal minor of L_G. However, the following example shows that τ_G can vanish while κ_G is positive.

Example 2.2. Consider the connected graph G in Figure 1. Unlabeled edges here and throughout will be assumed to have weight 1. The Laplacian matrix L_G is square of size 8. (See Example 3.8 below for a quick way to find L_G.) A routine calculation shows that any principal 7×7 minor of L_G vanishes, and hence $\tau_G = 0$. However, the absolute value of the greatest common divisors of the 6×6 minors of L_G is 9. Hence $\kappa_G = 9$.

3 d-Periodic graphs.

We regard $\mathbb{Z}^d$ as the multiplicative abelian group freely generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_d$. We denote the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^d] = \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_d^{\pm 1}]$ by $\mathcal{R}_d$. As an abelian group $\mathcal{R}_d$ is generated freely by monomials $x^{\mathbf{s}} = x_1^{s_1} \ldots x_d^{s_d}$, where $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \cdots, s_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Let G be a graph that is *d-periodic*. By this we mean that G has a cofinite free $\mathbb{Z}^d$-action by automorphisms that preserves edge weights. (By *cofinite* we mean the quotient graph $\overline{G}$ is finite. The action is *free* if the stabilizer of any edge or vertex is trivial.) Such a graph G is necessarily



Figure 1: Graph G with $\tau_G = 0$ and $\kappa_G = 9$

locally finite. The vertex set $V(\overline{G})$ and the edge set $E(\overline{G})$ consist of finitely many vertex orbits $\{v_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mid \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}, \ldots, \{v_{n,\mathbf{s}} \mid \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ and weighted-edge orbits $\{e_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mid \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}, \ldots, \{e_{m,\mathbf{s}} \mid \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$, respectively. The $\mathbb{Z}^d$-action is determined by

$$x^{\mathbf{s}'} \cdot v_{i,\mathbf{s}} = v_{i,\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{s}'}, \qquad x^{\mathbf{s}'} \cdot e_{j,\mathbf{s}} = e_{j,\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{s}'}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $1 \leq i \leq n,\ 1 \leq j \leq m$ and $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}' \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. (When G is embedded in some Euclidean space with $\mathbb{Z}^d$ acting by translation, it is usually called a *lattice graph*. Such graphs arise frequently in physics, for example in studying crystal structures.)

When $d > 1$ we can think of G as covering a graph $\overline{G}$ in the d-torus $\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$. When $d = 1$, G covers a graph $\overline{G}$ in the annulus $\mathbb{S}^1 \times I$. In either case the cardinality $|V(\overline{G})|$ is equal to the number n of vertex orbits of G, while $|E(\overline{G})|$ is the number m of edge orbits. The projection map is given by $v_{i,\mathbf{s}} \mapsto v_i$ and $e_{j,\mathbf{s}} \mapsto e_j$.

If $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ is a subgroup, then the intermediate covering graph in $\mathbb{R}^d/\Lambda$ will be denoted by G_Λ. The subgroups Λ that we will consider have index $r < \infty$, and hence G_Λ will be a finite r-sheeted cover of $\overline{G}$ in the d-dimensional torus $\mathbb{R}^d/\Lambda$.

Given a d-periodic graph G, the Laplacian matrix is defined to be the $n \times n$-matrix $L_G = \delta - A$, where now $A = (a_{i,j})$ is the *weighted adjacency matrix* with each non-diagonal entry $a_{i,j}$ equal to the sum of monomials $c_e x^{\mathbf{s}}$ for each edge $e \in E(\overline{G})$ between $v_{i,\mathbf{0}}$ and $v_{j,\mathbf{s}}$. (Again, ignoring loops, each diagonal entry of A is zero.) The matrix $\delta = (\delta_{i,j})$ is the same diagonal matrix that we associate to $\overline{G}$.

The matrix L_G presents a finitely generated $\mathcal{R}_d$-module, the *Laplacian module* of G. The *Laplacian (determinant) polynomial* D_G is the determinant of L_G. Examples can be found in [24].

The following is a consequence of the main theorem of [14]. It is made explicit in Theorem 5.2 of [20].

Proposition 3.1. *[20] Let G a d-periodic graph. Its Laplacian polynomial has the form*

$$D_G = \sum_F \prod_{e \in E(F)} c_e \prod_{\text{Cycles of F}} (2 - w - w^{-1}), \tag{3.2}$$

where the sum is over all cycle-rooted spanning forests F of $\overline{G}$, and w, w^{-1} are the monodromies of the two orientations of the cycle.

A *cycle-rooted spanning forest* (CRSF) of $\overline{G}$ is a subgraph of G containing all of V such that each connected component has exactly as many vertices as edges and therefore has a unique cycle. The element w is the monodromy of the cycle, or equivalently, its homology in $H_1(\mathbb{T}^d;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$. See [20] for details.

A d-periodic graph need not be connected. In fact, it can have countably many connected components. Nevertheless, the number of $\mathbb{Z}^d$-orbits of components, henceforth called *component orbits*, is necessarily finite.

Proposition 3.2. *If G is a d-periodic graph with component orbits $G_1, \dots, G_t$, then $D_G = D_{G_1} \cdots D_{G_t}$.*

Proof. After suitable relabeling, the Laplacian matrix for G is a block diagonal matrix with diagonal blocks equal to the Laplacian matrices for $G_1, \dots, G_s$. The result follows immediately. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let G a d-periodic graph. Its Laplacian polynomial D_G is identically zero if G contains a closed component. The converse statement is true when G is unweighted.*

Proof. If G contains a closed component, then some component orbit G_i consists of closed components. We have $D_{G_i} = 0$ by 3.1, since all cycles of $\overline{G_i}$ have monodromy 0. By Proposition 3.2, D_G is identically zero.

Conversely, assume G is unweighted and no component is closed. Each component of $\overline{G}$ must contain a nontrivial cycle. We can extend this collection of cycles to a cycle rooted spanning forest F with no additional cycles. The corresponding summand in 3.1 has positive constant coefficient. Since every summand has nonnegative constant coefficient, D_G is not identically zero.

□

Definition 3.4. The *Mahler measure* of a nonzero polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathcal{R}_d$ is

$$M(f) = \exp \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \log |f(e^{2\pi i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i\theta_d})| d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_d.$$

Remark 3.5. (1) The integral in Definition 3.4 can be singular, but nevertheless it converges. (See [13] for two different proofs.) If $u_1, \dots, u_d$ is another basis for $\mathbb{Z}^d$, then $f(u_1, \dots, u_d)$ has the same logarithmic Mahler measure as $f(x_1, \dots, x_d)$.

(2) When $d = 1$, Jensen's formula shows that $M(f)$ can be described in a simple way. If $f(x) = c_s x^s + \cdots c_1 x + c_0$, $c_0 c_s \neq 0$, then

$$M(f) = |c_s| \prod_{i=1}^{s} \max\{\log |\lambda_i|, 1\},$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ are the roots of f.

(3) If $f, g \in \mathcal{R}_d$, then $M(fg) = M(f)M(g)$. Moreover, $M(f) = 1$ if and only if f is a unit or a unit times a product of 1-variable cyclotomic polynomials, each evaluated at a monomial of $\mathcal{R}_d$ (see [36]).

Theorem 3.6. *(cf. [29]) If G is a d-periodic graph with nonzero Laplacian polynomial D_G, then*

$$\limsup_{\langle\Lambda\rangle\to\infty} \frac{1}{|\mathbb{Z}^d/\Lambda|} \log \kappa_{G_\Lambda} = \log M(D_G), \tag{3.3}$$

where Λ ranges over all finite-index subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}^d$, and $\langle\Lambda\rangle$ denotes the minimum length of a nonzero vector in Λ. When $d = 1$, the limit superior can be replaced by an ordinary limit.



Figure 2: 1-Periodic graph G with $\tau_{G_r} = 0$ for all $r \geq 1$

Remark 3.7. (1) The condition $\langle \Lambda \rangle \to \infty$ ensures that fundamental region of Λ grows in all directions.

(2) If G is unweighted, $\kappa_{G_\Lambda} = \tau_{G_\Lambda}$ for every Λ. In this case, Theorem 3.6 is proven in [29] with the limit superior replaced by ordinary limit.

(3) When $d = 1$, the finite-index subgroups Λ are simply $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$, for $r > 0$. In this case, we write G_r instead of G_Λ.

(4) When $d > 1$, a recent result of V. Dimitrov [12] asserts that the limit superior in Theorem 3.6 is equal to the ordinary limit along sequences of sublattices Λ of the form $N \cdot \mathbb{Z}^d$, where N is a positive integer.

Before proving Theorem 3.6 we give an example that demonstrates the need for defining graph complexity as we do.

Example 3.8. Consider the 1-periodic graph G in Figure 2. As before, unlabeled edges are assumed to have weight 1. Generators for the Laplacian module are indicated. The Laplacian matrix is

$$L_G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1-x^{-1} & 1 & -x^{-1} \\ 1-x & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\ -x & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $D_G(x) = 9(x - 2 + x^{-1})$.

The quotient G_2 is the finite graph in Example 1. The Laplacian matrix of any G_r is easily described as a block matrix where x is replaced by the companion (permutation) matrix for $x^r - 1$. It is conjugate to a the diagonal block matrix $\mathrm{Diag}[L_G(1), \ldots, L_G(\zeta^{r-1})]$, where ζ is a primitive rth root of unity. The matrix $L_G(1)$ is the 4×4 Laplacian matrix of $\bar{G}$,

$$L_{\bar{G}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

which has nullity 2. Hence the tree complexity τ_{G_r} vanishes for every r. Nevertheless, by Theorem 3.6 the (torsion) complexity κ_{G_r} is nontrivial and has exponential growth rate equal to 9. One can verify directly that the Laplacian subgroup $\mathcal{L}_{G_r}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}/3^{r-1}\mathbb{Z})^2$.

We proceed with the proof of Theorem 3.6.

Proof. The proof that we present is a direct application of a theorem of D. Lind, K. Schmidt and T. Ward (see [28] or Theorem 21.1 of [36]). We review the ideas for the reader's convenience.

Recall that the Laplacian module $\mathcal{L}_G$ is the finitely generated module over the ring $\mathcal{R}_d$ with presentation matrix equal to the $n \times n$ Laplacian matrix L_G. We let $\mathbb{T}$ be the additive circle group $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and we consider the Pontryagin dual group $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_G = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_G, \mathbb{T})$. We regard $\mathcal{L}_G$ as a discrete topological space. Endowed with the compact-open topology, $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_G$ is a compact 0-dimensional space. Moreover, the module actions of $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ determine commuting homeomorphisms $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_d$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_G$. Explicitly, $(\sigma_j \rho)(a) = \rho(x_j a)$ for every $a \in \mathcal{L}_G$. Consequently, $\widehat{\Gamma}_G$ has a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-action $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_G)$.

The pair $(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_G, \sigma)$ is an algebraic dynamical system, well defined up to topological conjugacy (that is, up to a homeomorphism of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_G$ respecting the $\mathbb{Z}^d$ action). In particular its periodic point structure is well defined.

Topological entropy $h(\sigma)$ is a well-defined quantity associated to $(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_G, \sigma)$, a measure of complexity of the $\mathbb{Z}^d$-action σ. We refer the reader to [28] or [36] for the definition.

For any subgroup Λ of $\mathbb{Z}^d$, a Λ-periodic point is a member of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_G$ that is fixed by every element of Λ. The set of Λ-periodic points is a finitely generated abelian group isomorphic to the Pontryagin dual group $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_G/\Lambda\mathcal{L}_G), \mathbb{T})$.

The group $\mathcal{L}_G/\Lambda\mathcal{L}_G$ is the Laplacian module of the quotient graph G_Λ. As a finitely generated abelian group, it decomposes as $\mathbb{Z}^{\beta_\Lambda} \oplus T(\mathcal{L}_G/\Lambda\mathcal{L}_G)$, where β_Λ is the rank of $\mathcal{L}_G/\Lambda\mathcal{L}_G$ and $T(\cdots)$ denotes the (finite) torsion subgroup. The Pontryagin dual group consists of $P_\Lambda = |T(\mathcal{L}_G/\Lambda\mathcal{L}_G)|$ tori each of dimension β_Λ. By Theorem 21.1 of [36], the topological entropy $h(\sigma)$ is:

$$h(\sigma) = \limsup_{\langle\Lambda\rangle \to \infty} \frac{1}{|\mathbb{Z}^d/\Lambda|} \log P_\Lambda = \limsup_{\langle\Lambda\rangle \to \infty} \frac{1}{|\mathbb{Z}^d/\Lambda|} \log \kappa_\Lambda.$$

Since the matrix L_G that presents $\mathcal{L}_G$ is square, $h(\sigma)$ can be computed also as the logarithm of the Mahler measure $M(\det L_G)$ (see Example 18.7(1) of [36]). The determinant of L_G is, by definition, the Laplacian polynomial D_G. Hence the proof is complete. □

4 Lehmer's question

In [25] D.H. Lehmer asked the following yet unresolved question.

Question 4.1. Do there exist integral polynomials with Mahler measures arbitrarily close but not equal to 1?

Lehmer discovered the polynomial $x^{10} + x^9 - x^7 - x^6 - x^5 - x^4 - x^3 + x + 1$, which has Mahler measure equal to 1.17628..., but he could do no better. Lehmer's question remains unanswered despite great effort including extensive computer-aided searches [4, 5, 30, 31, 33].

Topological and geometric perspectives of Lehmer's question have been found [17]. In [38] we showed that Lehmer's question is equivalent to a question about Alexander polynomials of fibered hyperbolic knots in the lens spaces $L(n, 1), n > 0$. (Lens spaces arose from the need to consider polynomials $f(x)$ with $f(1) = n \neq 1$.) Here we present another, more elementary equivalence, in terms of graph complexity.

We will say that a Laurent polynomial $f(x) \in \mathcal{R}_1$ is *palindromic* if $f(x^{-1}) = f(x)$. By a theorem of C. Smyth [39] it suffices to restrict our attention to palindromic polynomials when attempting to answer Lehmer's question.

Proposition 4.2. *A polynomial $D(x)$ is the Laplacian polynomial of a 1-periodic graph if and only if it has the form $(x-2+x^{-1})^2 f(x)$, where $f(x)$ is a palindromic polynomial.*

Proof. The Laplacian polynomial $D(x)$ of any 1-periodic graph is palindromic. This is easy to see from the symmetry of the matrix L_G. Since the row-sums of L_G become zero when $x = 1$, it follows also that $x - 1$ divides $D(x)$. (Both observations follow also from Proposition 3.1.) Palindromicity requires that the multiplicity of $x-1$ be even. Hence $D(x)$ has the form $(x-2+x^{-1})^2 f(x)$, where $f(x)$ is palindromic.

In order to see the converse assertion, consider any polynomial of the form $p(x) = (x-2-x^{-1})^2 f(x)$, where $f(x)$ is palindromic. A straightforward induction on the degree of $f(x)$ shows that we can pair each term $\pm x^s$ with $\pm x^{-s}$, and then write $p(x)$ as a sum of terms $\pm(x^s - 2 + x^{-s})$. Then $p(x)$ is the Laplacian polynomial of a 1-periodic graph, constructed as in the following example. □

Example 4.3. Multiplying Lehmer's polynomial $f(x) = x^{10} + x^9 - x^7 - x^6 - x^5 - x^4 - x^3 + x + 1$ by the unit x^{-5} and then by $x - 2 + x^{-1}$ yields $x^6 - x^5 - x^4 + x^2 + x^{-2} - x^{-4} - x^{-5} + x^{-6}$, which in turn can be written as

$$(x^2 - 2 + x^{-2}) - (x^4 - 2 + x^{-4}) - (x^5 - 2 + x^{-5}) + (x^6 - 2 + x^{-6}).$$

This the Laplacian polynomial of a 1-periodic graph G. The quotient graph $\overline{G}$ is easily described. It has a single vertex, two edges with weight $+1$ and two with -1. The $(+1)$-weighted edges wind twice and six times, respectively, around the annulus in the direction corresponding to x. The (-1)-weighted edges wind four and five times, respectively, in the opposite direction.

Theorem 4.4. *Lehmer's question is equivalent to the following. Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a 1-periodic graph G such that*

$$1 < \lim_{r\to\infty} (\tau_{G_r})^{1/r} < 1 + \epsilon?$$

Proof. When investigating Lehmer's question it suffices to consider polynomials of the form $(x - 2 + x^{-1})f(x)$, where $f(x)$ is palindromic and irreducible. By Proposition 4.2 any such polynomial is realized as the Laplacian polynomial of a 1-periodic graph G with a single vertex orbit. As in Example 3.8 the Laplacian matrix L_{G_r} of any finite quotient G_r can be obtained from $(x - 2 + x^{-1})f(x)$ by substituting for x the companion matrix for $x^r - 1$. Hence the nullity of L_{G_r} is 1 provided that $f(x)$ is not a cyclotomic polynomial (multiplied by a unit), a condition that we can assume without loss of generality. Hence $\kappa_{G_r} = \tau_{G_r}$ for each r (see discussion following Definition 2.1.) Theorem 3.6 completes the proof.

□

Remark 4.5. (1) The conclusion of Theorem 4.4 does not hold if we restrict ourselves to unweighted graphs. By Theorem 6.7 below, the Mahler measure of the Laplacian polynomial of any 1-periodic graph with all edge weights equal to 1 is at least 2.

(2) If a 1-periodic graph G as in Example 4.3 can be found with $M(D_G)$ less than Lehmer's value 1.17628..., then by results of [32] some edge of $\overline{G}$ must wind around the annulus at least 29 times.

The cyclic 5-fold cover of the graph in Example 4.3 contains the complete graph on 5 vertices, and hence it is nonplanar. Hence we ask:

Question 4.6. Is Theorem 4.4 still true if we require that the graphs G be planar?



Figure 3: Medial link construction for (±1)-edge weighted graphs

5 Laplacian polynomials of plane 1-periodic graphs

A finite plane graph determines a diagram of a *medial link* by a simple procedure in which each edge of the graph is replaced by two arcs as in Figure 3. If the graph is unweighted then the resulting diagram is alternating as in Example 5 below. (The reader is invited to sketch the medial link associated to Figure 1. It is a non-alternating boundary link. According to [10] it was introduced by J. Milnor and is the first link known to have zero Alexander polynomial.)

It is well known that the Laplacian matrix of a finite plane graph G is an unreduced Goeritz matrix of the associated link [18]. The reduced matrix, obtained by deleting a row and column, presents the first homology group of the 2-fold cyclic cover of $\mathbb{S}^3$ branched over the link. (See [34, 42, 26] for additional details.)

When G is a 1- or 2-periodic graph we apply the same construction to produce a diagram $\mathcal{D}$ of an infinite link ℓ. It has a finite quotient diagram $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ modulo the induced $\mathbb{Z}$- or $\mathbb{Z}^2$-action on G.

For $d = 1$ we regard $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ in an annulus where it describes a link $\overline{\ell} = \overline{\ell}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \overline{\ell}_\mu$ in a solid unknotted torus V. The complement $(\mathrm{int}V) \setminus \overline{\ell}$ is homeomorphic to the $\mathbb{S}^3 \setminus \hat{\ell}$, where $\hat{\ell}$ is the link $\overline{\ell} \cup C$ formed by the union of $\overline{\ell}$ with a meridian C of V. The meridian acquires an orientation induced by the infinite cyclic action on $\mathcal{D}$. The following result relates the Laplacian polynomial D_G to the Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{\hat{\ell}}$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a plane 1-periodic graph and $\hat{\ell}$ the encircled link $\overline{\ell} \cup C$. Then*

$$D_G(x) \doteq (x-1)\ \Delta_{\hat{\ell}}(-1, \ldots, -1, x),$$

where $\doteq$ indicates equality up to multiplication by units in $\mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$.

Proof. We abbreviate $\Delta_{\hat{\ell}}(-1, \ldots, -1, x)$ by $F(x)$ and compute it via Fox calculus. Such a calculation can be done using the link group $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \hat{\ell})$ and augmentation homomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \hat{\ell})] \to \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ that maps a meridian of C to x and meridians of $\overline{\ell}$ to -1. Instead we will make use of $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \ell)$, an infinite-index subgroup of $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \hat{\ell})$. It has a countable Dehn presentation associated to the diagram $\mathcal{D}$. The presentation has generators $a_\nu, b_\nu, \ldots, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ corresponding to bounded regions together with a generator u corresponding to one of the two unbounded regions of $\mathcal{D}$. (The second unbounded region, called the *base region*, is labeled zero.) Here $a_\nu, b_\nu, \ldots$ is short-hand for the families $\{x^\nu a x^{-\nu}\}, \{x^\nu b x^{-\nu}\}, \ldots$, indexed by $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$. The generators $a, b, \ldots$ are arbitrary but fixed orbit representatives. We regard u as $\{u_\nu \mid u_\nu = u_{\nu+1}\}$.

We recall that Dehn generators are related to the more-familiar Wirtinger generators once an orientation of the link ℓ is given. A Dehn generator corresponds to a loop that begins at a basepoint above the plane, pierces the plane in the region of the Dehn generator, and returns through the base region. While the Dehn presentation requires no orientation of ℓ, the restriction of the augmentation homomorphism ϕ to $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \ell)]$ is determined only with coefficients modulo 2. For



Figure 4: Dehn relations

this, we checkerboard color the diagram $\mathcal{D}$ with black and white in such a way that black regions contain the vertices of G. Under the augmentation homomorphism *white generators* $a_\nu = x^\nu a x^{-\nu}$, those corresponding to white regions, map to x^ν. *Black generators*, those belonging to black regions, map to $-x^\nu$.

Relations of the Dehn presentation correspond to crossings of $\mathcal{D}$, as in Figure 4. The relation arising from the crossing at the top of the diagram is $ab^{-1} = cd^{-1}$ while the crossing at the bottom we may write as $bd^{-1} = ac^{-1}$. Like the generators, the relations come in countable families. Applying Fox calculus to relations, we obtain $a_\nu + b_\nu = c_\nu + d_\nu$ (top) and $b_\nu + d_\nu = a_\nu + c_\nu$ (bottom). We denote the collection of Dehn relations by $\mathcal{R}$.

Fix a black region of $\mathcal{D}$ (vertex of G) and identify it with the generator a in Figure 4. When we can add the relations involving a, the white generators cancel in pairs and we are left with the Laplacian relation of G at the vertex. Note that this collection $\mathcal{R}'$ of Laplacian relations is a consequence of $\mathcal{R}$.

Consider the dual graph G^* and choose a spanning tree T^* rooted at the base region of $\mathcal{D}$. Each edge of T^* crosses a unique edge of G, and hence T^* corresponds to a subset $\mathcal{R}_0$ of $\mathcal{R}$. Using these relations we can express each white generator in terms of black generators. Lemma 5.2 below will show that the remaining relations in $\mathcal{R}$ are consequences of $\mathcal{R}_0 \cup \mathcal{R}'$. Once we use the relations $\mathcal{R}_0$ to eliminate white generators and rewrite the remaining relations, we see the presentation of $\mathcal{L}_G$ given by the Laplacian matrix L_G. Since the polynomial $F(x)$ is the 0th determinantal invariant of the module divided by $x - 1$, the proof is complete.

□

Lemma 5.2. *Let G be a plane 1-periodic graph, and let $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}'$ be the Dehn and Laplacian relations of the link diagram resulting as above from the medial construction. Let $\mathcal{R}_0$ be the Dehn relations corresponding to the edges of a spanning tree for the dual graph G^* rooted at the base region of $\mathcal{D}$. Then any Dehn relation in $\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_0$ is a consequence of $\mathcal{R}_0 \cup \mathcal{R}'$.*

Proof. Any Dehn relation in $\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_0$ corresponds to an edge of G^* not contained in T^*. Adding the edge to T^* results in a unique cycle γ. We proceed by induction on the number of vertices of G enclosed by γ.

If γ encloses a single vertex, then, as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, the relations corresponding to the edges of γ add together to give the Laplacian relation at the vertex.

If γ encloses more than one vertex, then it can be decomposed as a union of cycles γ_1 and γ_2 with edges of G in common such that each cycle encloses fewer vertices than γ. The sum of the Dehn relations corresponding to the edges of γ is equal to the addition of the sums coming from γ_1 and γ_2, the relations corresponding to common edges canceling in pairs. By the induction hypothesis the later is a consequence of $\mathcal{R}_0 \cup \mathcal{R}'$.

□

Question 4.6 might be approached by reversing the process of transforming a plane 1-periodic graph G to an encircled link $\hat{\ell}$. Given any link $\hat{\ell}$ with an unknotted component C, the Mahler measure of $\Delta_{\hat{\ell}}(x, -1, \ldots, -1)$ is the Mahler measure of some 1-periodic plane graph. (Neither the extra factor of $x - 1$ nor the orientation of C will affect the Mahler measure.) However, the classification of Alexander polynomials of links with an unknotted component is a difficult problem that has been only partly solved [21, 11, 41]

6 Unweighted d-periodic graphs

We present some results about unweighted d-periodic graphs. They will not surprise some experts, but, as far as we know, they have not appeared elsewhere. In particular, we will show that our restatement of Lehmer's question in Theorem 4.4 is not valid if we restrict ourselves to unweighted graphs.

Graphs considered in this section are unweighted.

We call the limit in Theorem 3.6 the *complexity growth rate* of G, and denote it by γ_G. Its relationship to the *thermodynamic limit* or *bulk limit* defined for a wide class of unweighted lattice graphs is discussed in [24].

Denote by $R = R(\Lambda)$ a fundamental domain of Λ. Let $G|_R$ be the full unweighted subgraph of G on vertices $v_{i,\mathbf{s}},\ \mathbf{s} \in R$. We denote by ℓ_R the corresponding medial link.

If $G|_R$ is connected for each R, then $\{\tau_{G_\Lambda}\}$ and $\{\tau_{G|_R}\}$ have the same exponential growth rates. (See Theorem 7.10 of [24] for a short, elementary proof. A more general result is Corollary 3.8 of [29].) The bulk limit is defined by $\gamma_G/|V(\overline{G})|$.

Example 6.1. The *d-dimensional grid graph* $\mathbb{G}_d$ is the unweighted graph with vertex set $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and edges from $(s_1, \ldots, s_d)$ to $(s'_1, \ldots, s'_d)$ if $|s_i - s'_i| = 1$ and $s_j = s'_j,\ j \neq i$, for every $1 \leq i \leq d$. Its Laplacian polynomial is

$$D(\mathbb{G}_d) = 2d - x_1 - x_1^{-1} - \cdots - x_d - x_d^{-1}.$$

When $d = 2$, it is a plane graph. The graphs links ℓ_R are indicated in Figure 5 for $\Lambda = \langle x_1^2, x_2^2 \rangle$ on left and $\Lambda = \langle x_1^3, x_2^3 \rangle$ on right.

The *determinant* of a link ℓ, denoted here by $\det(\ell)$, is the absolute value of its 1-variable Alexander polynomial evaluated at -1. It follows from the Mayberry-Mott theorem [2] that if ℓ is an alternating link that arises by the medial construction from a finite plane graph, edge weights ± 1 allowed, then $\det(\ell)$ is equal to the tree complexity of the graph (see Appendix A.4 in [6]). The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.6. It has been proven independently by Champanerkar and Kofman [8].



Figure 5: Graphs $(\mathbb{G}_2)_R$ and associated links, $\Lambda = \langle x_1^2, x_2^2\rangle$ and $\langle x_1^3, x_2^3\rangle$

Corollary 6.2. *Let G be a connected d-periodic unweighted plane graph, $d = 1$ or 2. Then*

$$\lim_{\langle\Lambda\rangle\to\infty} \frac{1}{|\mathbb{Z}^d/\Lambda|} \log\det(\ell_R) = \gamma_{D_G}.$$

Remark 6.3. (1) In [9] the authors consider as well more general sequences of links. When $G = \mathbb{G}_2$, their results imply that:

$$\lim_{\langle\Lambda\rangle\to\infty} \frac{2\pi}{c(\ell_R)} \log\det(\ell_R) = v_{oct},$$

where $c(\ell_R)$ is the number of crossings of ℓ_R and $v_{oct} \approx 3.66386$ is the volume of the regular ideal octohedron.

(2) If Question 4.6 has an affirmative answer then Lehmer's question becomes a question about link determinants.

Grid graphs are the simplest unweighted d-periodic graphs, as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 6.4. *Assume that G is an unweighted connected d-periodic graph. Then $\gamma_G \geq \gamma_{\mathbb{G}_d}$.*

Remark 6.5. The conclusion of Theorem 6.4 does not hold without the hypothesis that G is connected. Consider the 2-periodic graph G consisting of countably many copies of $\mathbb{G}_1$ obtained from $\mathbb{G}_2$ by removing all vertical edges. Then $\gamma_G = 0$ while $\gamma_{\mathbb{G}_2} > 0$.

The following lemma, needed for the proof of Corollary 6.7, is of independent interest.

Lemma 6.6. *The sequence of complexity growth rates $\gamma_{D_{\mathbb{G}_d}}$ is nondecreasing.*

Doubling each edge of $\mathbb{G}_1$ results in a graph with Laplacian polynomial $2(x - 2 + x^{-1})$, which has Mahler measure $2M(x - 2 + x^{-1}) = 2$. The following corollary states that this is minimum nonzero complexity growth rate.

Corollary 6.7. *(Complexity Growth Rate Gap) Let G be any unweighted d-periodic graph with Laplacian polynomial D_G. If $\gamma_G \neq 0$, then*

$$\gamma_G \geq \log 2.$$

Although $D_{\mathbb{G}_d}$ is relatively simple, the task of computing its Mahler measure is not. It is well known and not difficult to see that $\gamma_{\mathbb{G}_d} \leq \log 2d$. We will use a theorem of N. Alon [1] to show that $\gamma_{\mathbb{G}_d}$ approaches $\log 2d$ asymptotically.

Theorem 6.8. *(1)* $\gamma_{\mathbb{G}_d} \leq \log 2d$*, for all* $d \geq 1$.
(2) $\lim_{d\to\infty} \gamma_{\mathbb{G}_d} - \log 2d = 0$.

Asymptotic results about the Mahler measure of certain families of polynomials have been obtained elsewhere. However, the graph theoretic methods that we employ to prove Theorem 6.4 are different from techniques used previously.

Now suppose H is a subgraph of G consisting of one or more connected components of G, such that the orbit of H under $\mathbb{Z}^d$ is all of G. Let $\Gamma < \mathbb{Z}^d$ be the stabilizer of H. Then $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}^{d'}$ for some $d' \leq d$, and its action on H can be regarded as a cofinite free action of $\mathbb{Z}^{d'}$. Consider the limit

$$\gamma_H = \lim_{\langle\Lambda\rangle\to\infty} \frac{1}{|\Gamma/\Lambda|} \log \kappa_{H_\Lambda}$$

where Λ ranges over finite-index subgroups of Γ.

Lemma 6.9. *Under the above conditions we have* $\gamma_G = \gamma_H$.

Proof. Let Λ be any finite-index subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Then H is invariant under $\Lambda \cap \Gamma$. The image of H in the quotient graph G_Λ is isomorphic to $H_{\Lambda\cap\Gamma}$.

Note that the quotient $\overline{H}$ of H by the action of Γ is isomorphic to $\overline{G}$, since the $\mathbb{Z}^d$ orbit of H is all of G. Since G_Λ is a $|\mathbb{Z}^d/\Lambda|$-fold cover of $\overline{G}$ and $H_{\Lambda\cap\Gamma}$ is a $|\Gamma/(\Lambda\cap\Gamma)|$-fold cover of $\overline{H}$, G_Λ comprises $k = |\mathbb{Z}^d/\Lambda|/|\Gamma/(\Lambda\cap\Gamma)|$ mutually disjoint translates of a graph that is isomorphic to $H_{\Lambda\cap\Gamma}$. Hence $\kappa_{G_\Lambda} = \kappa^k_{H_{\Lambda\cap\Gamma}}$ and

$$\frac{1}{|\mathbb{Z}^d/\Lambda|} \log \kappa_{G_\Lambda} = \frac{1}{|\Gamma/(\Lambda\cap\Gamma)|} \log \kappa_{H_{\Lambda\cap\Gamma}}.$$

Since $\langle\Lambda\cap\Gamma\rangle \to \infty$ as $\langle\Lambda\rangle \to \infty$, we have $\gamma_G = \gamma_H$. □

Proof of Theorem 6.4. Consider the case in which G has a single vertex orbit. Then for some $u_1, \ldots, u_m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, with $m \geq d$, the edge set $E(G)$ consists of edges from v to $u_i \cdot v$ for each $v \in V$ and $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Since G is connected, we can assume after relabeling that $u_1, \ldots, u_d$ generate a finite-index subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Let G' be the be the $\mathbb{Z}^d$-invariant subgraph of G with edges from v to $u_i \cdot v$ for each $v \in V$ and $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Then G' is the orbit of a subgraph of G that is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_d$, and so by Lemma 6.9, $\gamma(\mathbb{G}_d) = \gamma(G') \leq \gamma(G)$.

We now consider a connected graph G having vertex families $v_{1,\mathbf{s}}, \ldots, v_{n,\mathbf{s}}$, where $n > 1$. Since G is connected, there exists an edge e joining $v_{1,\mathbf{0}}$ to some $v_{2,\mathbf{s}}$. Contract the edge orbit $\mathbb{Z}^d \cdot e$ to obtain a new graph G' having cofinite free $\mathbb{Z}^d$-symmetry and complexity growth rate no greater than that of G. Repeat the procedure with the remaining vertex families so that only $v_{1,\mathbf{s}}$ remains. The proof in the previous case of a graph with a single vertex orbit now applies. □

Proof of Lemma 6.6. Consider the grid graph $\mathbb{G}_d$. Deleting all edges in parallel to the dth coordinate axis yields a subgraph G consisting of countably many mutually disjoint translates of $\mathbb{G}_{d-1}$. By Lemma 6.9, $\gamma_{\mathbb{G}_{d-1}} = \gamma_G \leq \gamma_{\mathbb{G}_d}$. □

Proof of Corollary 6.7. By Lemma 6.9 it suffices to consider a connected d-periodic graph G with γ_G nonzero. Note that $\gamma_{\mathbb{G}_1} = 0$ while $\gamma_{\mathbb{G}_2} \approx 1.165$ is greater than $\log 2$. By Theorem 6.4 and Lemma 6.6 we can assume that $d = 1$.

If G has an orbit of parallel edges, we see easily that $\gamma_G \geq \log 2$. Otherwise, we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 6.4, contracting edge orbits to reduce the number of vertex orbits without increasing the complexity growth rate. If at any step we obtain an orbit of parallel edges, we are done; otherwise we will obtain a graph G' with a single vertex orbit and no loops. If G' is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_1$ then G must be a tree; but then $\gamma_G = 0$, contrary to our hypothesis. So G' must have at least two edge orbits. Deleting excess edges, we may suppose G' has exactly two edge orbits.

The Laplacian polynomial $D_{G'}$ has the form $4 - x^r - x^{-r} - x^s - x^{-s}$, for some positive integers r, s. Reordering the vertex set of G', we can assume without loss of generality that $r = 1$. The following calculation is based on an idea suggested to us by Matilde Lalin.

$$\log M(D_{G'}) = \int_0^1 \log |4 - 2\cos(2\pi\theta) - 2\cos(2\pi s\theta)| \; d\theta$$

$$= \int_0^1 \log |2(1 - \cos(2\pi\theta)) + 2(1 - \cos(2\pi s\theta))| \; d\theta$$

$$= \int_0^1 \log \left(4\sin^2(\pi\theta) + 4\sin^2(\pi s\theta) \right) \; d\theta.$$

Using the inequality $(u^2 + v^2) \geq 2uv$, for any nonnegative u, v, we have:

$$\log M(D_{G'}) \geq \int_0^1 \log \left(8|\sin(\pi\theta)| \; |\sin(\pi s\theta)| \right) \; d\theta$$

$$= \log 8 + \int_0^1 \log |\sin(\pi\theta)| \; d\theta + \int_0^1 \log |\sin(\pi s\theta)| \; d\theta$$

$$= \log 8 + \int_0^1 \log \sqrt{\frac{1 - \cos(2\pi\theta)}{2}} \; d\theta + \int_0^1 \log \sqrt{\frac{1 - \cos(2\pi s\theta)}{2}} \; d\theta$$

$$= \log 8 + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{2 - 2\cos(2\pi\theta)}{4} \right) \; d\theta + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{2 - 2\cos(2\pi s\theta)}{4} \right) \; d\theta$$

$$= \log 8 + \frac{1}{2} m(2 - x - x^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\log 4 + \frac{1}{2} m(2 - x^s - x^{-s}) - \frac{1}{2}\log 4$$

$$= 3\log 2 + 0 - \log 2 + 0 - \log 2 = \log 2.$$

□

Our proof of Theorem 6.8 depends on the following result of Alon.

Theorem 6.10. *[1] If G is a finite connected ρ-regular unweighted graph, then*

$$\tau_G \geq [\rho(1 - \epsilon(\rho))]^{|V(G)|},$$

where $\epsilon(\rho)$ is a nonnegative function with $\epsilon(\rho) \to \infty$ as $\rho \to \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 6.8. (1) The integral representing the logarithm of the Mahler measure of $D_{\mathbb{G}_d}$ can be written

$$\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \log \left| 2d - \sum_{i=1}^{d} 2\cos(2\pi\theta_i) \right| d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_d$$

$$= \log 2d + \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \log \left| 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\cos(2\pi\theta_i)}{d} \right| d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_d$$

$$= \log 2d + \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \cos(2\pi\theta_i)}{d} \right)^k d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_d.$$

By symmetry, odd powers of k in the summation contribute zero to the integration. Hence

$$\log(D_{\mathbb{G}_d}) = \log 2d - \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \cos(2\pi\theta_i)}{d} \right)^{2k} d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_d \leq \log 2d.$$

(2) Let Λ be a finite-index subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Consider the quotient graph $(\mathbb{G}_d)_\Lambda$. The cardinality of its vertex set is $|\mathbb{Z}^d/\Lambda|$. The main result of [1], cited above as Theorem 6.10, implies that

$$\tau_{(\mathbb{G}_d)_\Lambda} = \Big((2d)(1 - \mu(d)) \Big)^{|\mathbb{Z}^d/\Lambda|},$$

where μ is a nonnegative function such that $\lim_{d\to\infty} \mu(d) = 0$. Hence

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{|\mathbb{Z}^d/\Lambda|} \log \tau_{(\mathbb{G}_d)_\Lambda} - \log 2d \right) = \lim_{d\to\infty} \log(1 - \mu(d)) = 0.$$

Theorem 3.6 completes the proof. □

Remark 6.11. One can evaluate $\log M(D(\mathbb{G}_d))$ numerically and obtain an infinite series representing $\gamma_{\mathbb{G}_d} - \log 2d$. However, showing rigorously that the sum of the series approaches zero as d goes to infinity appears to be difficult. (See [37], p. 16 for a heuristic argument.)

References

[1] N. Alon, The number of spanning trees in regular graphs, in: Random Structures and Algorithms, Vol. 1, No. 2 (1990), 175–181.

[2] A. Bott and J.P. Mayberry, Matrices and trees, in Economic Activity Analysis (O. Morgenstern, ed.), 391–400, Wiley, New York, 1954.

[3] L. Bowen and H. Li, Harmonic models and spanning forests of residually finite groups, Journal of Functional Analysis 263 (2012) 1769–1808.

[4] D.W. Boyd, Reciprocal polynomials having small measure. Math. Comp. 35 (1980), 1361–1377.

[5] D. Boyd, Speculations concerning the range of Mahler's measure, Canad. Math. Bull. 24 (1981), 453–469.

[6] G. Burde, H. Zieschang, Knots, Walter de Gruyter and Co., Berlin, 1985.

[7] R. Burton and R. Pemantle, Local characteristics, entropy and limit theorems for spanning trees and domino tilings via transfer-impedances, Ann. Prob. 21 (1993), 1329–1371.

[8] A. Champanerkar I. Kofman, Determinant density and biperiodic alternating links, New York J. Math. 22 (2016) 891–906.

[9] A. Champanerkar, I. Kofman and J.S. Purcell, Geometrically and diagrammatically maximal knots, J. London Math. Soc., in press.

[10] D.S. Cochran, Links with zero Alexander polynomial, Ph.D. dissertation, Dartmouth College, 1980.

[11] D. Cooper, Signatures of surfaces in 3-manifolds and applications to knot and link cobordisms, Ph.D. dissertation, Warwick University, 1982.

[12] V. Dimitrov, Convergence to the Mahler measure and the distribution of periodic points for algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions, preprint, 2016 arXiv:1611.04664v1

[13] G. Everest and T. Ward, Heights of Polynomials and Entropy in Algebraic Dynamics, Springer-Verlag, London 1999.

[14] R. Forman, Determinants of Laplacians on graphs, Topology, 32 (1993), 35–46.

109–113.

[15] C. Godsil, G. Royle, Algebraic Graph Theory, Springer Verlag, 2001.

[16] G.R. Grimmett, An upper bound for the number of spanning trees of a graph, Discrete Math. 16 (1976), 323–324.

[17] E. Hironaka, Lehmer's problem, McKay's correspondence, and 2,3,7 in: Topics in algebraic and noncommutative geometry (Luminy/Annapolis, MD, 2001), 123–138, Contemp. Math., 324, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[18] L. Goeritz, Knoten und quadratische Formen, Math. Z., 36 (1933), 647–654.

[19] L.H. Kauffman, Knots and Physics, Third Edition, World Scientific, Singapore, 2001.

[20] R. Kenyon, The Laplacian on planar graphs and graphs on surfaces, in Current Developments in Mathematics (2011), 1 – 68.

[21] M. E. Kidwell, On the Alexander polynomials of alternating two-component links, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 2 (1979), 229–237.

[22] I. Kovacs, D.S. Silver and S.G. Williams, Determinants of commuting-block matrices, American Mathematical Monthly 106 (1999), 950–952.

[23] G. Kreweras, Complexité et circuits Eulériens dans les sommes tensorielles de graphes, J. Comb. Theory, Series B 24 (1978), 202–212.

[24] K.R. Lamey, D.S. Silver and S.G. Williams, Vertex-colored graphs, bicycle spaces and Mahler measure, J. Knot Theory and its Ramifications, 25 (2016), no. 6, 1650033, 22 pp.

[25] D.H. Lehmer, Factorization of certain cyclotomic functions. Annals of Math. 34 (1933), 461–479.

[26] , W.B. Lickorish, An introduction to knot theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - New York, 1974.

[27] D.A. Lind, Dynamical properties of quasihyperbolic toral automorphisms, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys. 2 (1982), 49–68.

[28] D.A. Lind, K. Schmidt and T. Ward, Mahler measure and entropy for commuting automorphisms of compact groups, Inventiones Math. 101 (1990), 593–629.

[29] R. Lyons, Asymptotic enumeration of spanning trees, Probability and Computing 14 (2005), 491–522.

[30] J. McKee and C. Smyth, Integer symmetric matrices of small spectral radius and small Mahler measure. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2012, no. 1, 102–136.

[31] M.J. Mossinghoff, Polynomials with small Mahler measure. Math. Comp. 67 (1998), 1697–1705.

[32] M.J. Mossinghoff, Minimal Mahler measures, Experiment. Math. 17 (2008), no. 4, 451–458.

[33] G.A. Ray, A locally parameterized version of Lehmer's problem. In Mathematics of Computation 1943–1993: *A Half-Century of Computational Mathematics* (W. Gautschi, ed.), Proc. Symp. Appl. Math. 48 (1994), 573–576.

[34] K. Reidemeister, Knotentheorie, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.

[35] J. Rotman, An Introduction to the Theory of Groups, 4th edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[36] K. Schmidt, Dynamical Systems of Algebraic Origin, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.

[37] R. Shrock and F.Y. Wu, Spanning trees on graphs and lattices in $\mathcal{D}$ dimensions, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 33 (2000), 3881–3902.

[38] D.S. Silver and S.G. Williams, Lehmer's question, knots and surface dynamics, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (2007), 143, 649–661.

[39] C.J. Smyth, On the product of conjugates outside the unit circle of an algebraic integer, Bulletin of the London Math. Soc. 3 (1971), 169–175,

[40] R. Solomyak, On coincidence of entropies for two classes of dynamical systems, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. 18 (1998), 731–738.

[41] L. Traldi, A generalization of Torres' second relation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 269 (1982), 593–610.

[42] L. Traldi, O the Goertiz matrix of a link, Math. Z. 188 (1985), 203–213.

[43] W.T. Tutte, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1984.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
University of South Alabama
Mobile, AL 36688 USA
Email:
silver@southalabama.edu
swilliam@southalabama.edu